

## Durham Research Online

---

### Deposited in DRO:

14 September 2017

### Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

### Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

### Citation for published item:

Reaney, S.M. and Lane, S.N. and Heathwaite, A.L. and Dugdale, L.J. (2011) 'Risk-based modelling of diffuse land use impacts from rural landscapes upon salmonid fry abundance.', *Ecological modelling*, 222 (4). pp. 1016-1029.

### Further information on publisher's website:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2010.08.022>

### Publisher's copyright statement:

© 2011 This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

### Additional information:

## Use policy

---

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

# **Risk-based modelling of diffuse land use impacts from rural landscapes upon salmonid fry abundance**

Sim M. Reaney<sup>1</sup>, Stuart N. Lane<sup>1</sup>, A. Louise Heathwaite<sup>2</sup> and Lucy J. Dugdale<sup>3</sup>

1 Institute of Hazard, Risk and Resilience and Department of Geography, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE, U.K.

2 Centre for Sustainable Water Management, Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, U.K.

3 Eden Rivers Trust, Units O&Q, Skirsgill Business Park, Penrith CA11 0DP, U.K.

## **Abstract**

Research has demonstrated that landscape or watershed scale processes can influence instream aquatic ecosystems, in terms of the impacts of delivery of fine sediment, solutes and organic matter. Testing such impacts upon populations of organisms (i.e. at the catchment scale) has not proven straightforward and differences have emerged in the conclusions reached. This is: (1) partly because different studies have focused upon different scales of enquiry; but also (2) because the emphasis upon upstream land cover has rarely addressed the extent to which such land covers are hydrologically-connected, and hence able to deliver diffuse pollution, to the drainage network. However, there is a third issue. In order to develop suitable hydrological models, we need to conceptualise the process cascade. To do this, we need to know what matters to the organism being impacted by the hydrological system, such that we can identify which processes need to be modelled. Acquiring such knowledge is not easy, especially for organisms like fish that might occupy very different locations in the river over relatively short periods of time. However, and inevitably, hydrological modellers have started by building up piecemeal the aspects of the problem that we think matter to fish. Herein, we report two developments: (a) for the case of sediment associated diffuse pollution from agriculture, a risk-based modelling framework, SCIMAP, has been developed, which is distinct because it has an explicit focus upon hydrological connectivity; and (b) we use spatially-distributed ecological data



to infer the processes and the associated process parameters that matter to salmonid fry. We apply the model to spatially-distributed salmon and fry data from the River Eden, Cumbria. The analysis shows, quite surprisingly, that arable land covers are relatively unimportant as drivers of fry abundance. What matters most is intensive pasture, a land cover that could be associated with a number of stressors on salmonid fry (e.g. pesticides, fine sediment) and which allows us to identify a series of risky field locations, where this land cover is readily connected to the river system by overland flow.

**Key words:** diffuse pollution, hydrological connectivity, land cover, salmonids, fine sediment, risk

## Introduction

There is growing realisation that the localised restoration of individual reaches of river can be undermined due to larger scales of influence, such as the delivery of fine sediment from eroding agricultural land. This approach is enshrined in the EU Water Framework Directive, which advocates holistic analysis (e.g. Newson, 1997), and it applies to land management activities like agriculture that drive diffuse responses but which collectively create particular point problems (e.g. increased flood risk, nutrient loading, fine sediment accumulation in river gravels). It has proved exceptionally difficult to demonstrate the extent to which diffuse activities are responsible for these point problems, not least because statutory monitoring agencies rarely design data collection strategies that reveal the characteristics of diffuse pollution (Harris and Heathwaite, 2005). For this reason, the use of mathematical modelling to identify the sources of diffuse pollution has been dominant, commonly in a risk based framework. In this framework, sources of risk are imagined to be distributed across a river catchment. Human activities (e.g. fertiliser additions) may combine combined with landscape attributes (e.g. soil type, local slope) to make certain sites more important sources of risk than others. Thus, reducing the risk to rivers is concerned with identifying the locations of the important sources of risk and embarking upon appropriate management interventions. This paper is concerned with two developments to this risk-based approach. First, it recognises that the sources of risk need to be connected hydrologically to the river network if they are to deliver their 'risk'. This may be within a storm

event, or through a series of storm events by repeated erosion and transport along a hydrological flow path. Existing analyses of diffuse pollution contain only a very rudimentary representation of this process. Second, some previous attention has been given to the spatial patterns of the sources of risks in landscapes, surprisingly little attention has been given to the collection of in-river data, distributed spatially across an entire catchment to test such predictions. Using conventional water quality samples to do this is difficult because of temporal variability, which necessitates many sampling points, measuring through time in order to obtain unbiased estimates of water quality parameters. The aim of this paper is to develop a reformulated approach to model the impacts of diffuse pollution (notably material such as fine sediment eroded from the landscape). We inform this approach by using ecological data (salmonid fry) collected from across the study catchment.

### **Modelling fish populations at the landscape scale**

The potential role of landscape scale factors in river management is based upon the premise that they influence aquatic communities, in terms of chemistry, hydrology and the production and transfer of organic matter (Allan and Johnson, 1997). Reflecting the observation of Hynes (1975), that the valley rules the stream, landscape factors (e.g. soil type, land use) have been shown to influence instream water quality (Hunsaker and Levine, 1995; Johnson *et al.*, 1997). This influence has been extended to impacts upon instream organisms whether explored directly (e.g. Roth *et al.*, 1996) or indirectly, through the effects of landscape scale factors upon relevant reach-scale parameters (e.g. Richards *et al.*, 1997) such as food availability (e.g. Townsend *et al.*, 1997). The recognition that landscape scale factors matter has been part of a move towards the hierarchical interpretation of aquatic communities, in which factors that range in scale from microhabitat to the entire river basin interact to impact upon both where habitat is suitable and the degree to which an organism can move between suitable habitat sites (Poff, 1997; Armstrong *et al.*, 1998, 2003; Wang *et al.*, 2003; Durance *et al.*, 2006). Such work recognises the fundamental structuring effect of river basin drainage networks (e.g. Benda *et al.*, 2004), necessitating an upscaling of the focus of river restoration efforts (Harding *et al.*, 1998; Durance *et al.*, 2006) which

have traditionally emphasised the riparian environment alone (Johnson and Gage, 1997; Folt *et al.*, 1998).

Incorporating the landscape-scale has not proved to be straightforward (Durance *et al.*, 2006). For instance, in relation to fish, a trade off has to be made between: improving reliability of ecological data at-a-point in time through more time-consuming repeat pass electrofishing; and capturing population variability in space, requiring less time at individual locations. Sacrificing time leads to better spatial resolution but enhanced spatial variance (Wiley *et al.*, 1997), or sampling-enhanced noise. However, assessment of a watershed or catchment factor has to have a spatial component, especially river basins with a range of land use activities and practices, where the mosaic of land uses found will create substantial spatial variability in instream water quality. These issues are compounded by: (1) possibly many limiting habitat influences; (2) spatial variability in the extent of habitat limitation as compared with other population controlling factors; (3) spatial variability in exactly what aspect of habitat is limiting (Pess *et al.*, 2002) and (4), in particular, inter-annual variation in recruitment that means there will be substantial temporal variability in any of the spatial data that are acquired. If reliable spatial datasets can be generated, even a partial explanation of their spatial structure by any one watershed factor is a significant challenge (Pess *et al.*, 2002, Johnson and Gage, 1997). When larger-scale factors have been considered, results have been attributed to the scale implicit in the design of the study (Wang *et al.*, 1997; Stauffer *et al.*, 2000; Lammert and Allan, 1999; Durance *et al.*, 2006). Thus, the reach/riparian focus of much conservation work is not surprising, notably in the presence of results that can at times be contradictory (Rich *et al.*, 2003), and the difficulty of getting ecological data at a scale that matches the landscape emphasis.

This situation aside, landscape-scale factors still provide meaningful hypotheses for explaining both historical and current patterns of instream organism populations. The landscape hypotheses are founded upon the assumption that upstream factors influence the delivery of water, sediment, solutes and organic matter to locations that are suitable for a particular organism and so impact upon the local habitat suitability of that site in both positive (e.g. delivery of the organic matter

required to support benthic macroinvertebrate populations) and negative (e.g. siltation of spawning redds) ways. Larger scale processes are assumed to be creating the template within which the small scale operates (Armstrong *et al.*, 1998; Stauffer *et al.*, 2000). Thus, one explanation for differing findings in relation to the importance of landscape factors is not methodological but due to substantive differences in the sources of risk in catchments and their propensity to be delivered to the river network. Indeed, emphasis upon landscape scale attributes has focused almost entirely on either abiotic metrics such as geology or relief and/or land use and management practices. It has not recognised the extent to which there is delivery of material from those land uses to the river system (Meador and Goldstein, 2003). Recent work in both hydrology (Kirchner *et al.*, 2000) and biology (Poff, 1997) has emphasised how landscapes can operate as large-scale filters (Burt and Pinay, 2005) in which the scales of variability of inputs to the system (e.g. rainfall) are fundamentally restructured by the time they become outputs (e.g. water quality). The focus in this paper is upon developing a modelling approach that can capture this effect, in a risk-based framework.

### **Model principles: diffuse pollution risk, connectivity and instream impacts**

In the absence of spatially-distributed in-river data, and faced with the need to identify the locations within the drainage basin that are most likely to be sources of catchment risks, a number of modelling approaches have been developed. These can be classified into three main groups (Lane *et al.*, 2006): (1) transfer function modelling – which predicts material export on the basis of simple empirical transfer functions driven by known inputs such as fertiliser and manure applications coupled with soil nutrient status (e.g. Jordan *et al.*, 1994; Johnes, 1996; Johnes and Heathwaite, 1997; Herrmann *et al.*, 2003; Ekholm *et al.*, 2005); (2) land unit modelling – which applies physically-based ('mechanistic') models of sediment and nutrient cycling to individual land units in order to determine export (e.g. Priess *et al.*, 2001; Weber *et al.*, 2001; Binder *et al.*, 2003; Wolf *et al.*, 2005; Matthews, 2006; Vatn *et al.*, 2006); and (3) land transfer modelling – which combines the kind of analysis described in (2) with a physically-based, sometimes dynamic, treatment of how material is transferred across the landscape (e.g. Adams *et al.*, 1995; De Roo

and Jetten, 1999). A proper treatment of the transfer process is necessary (Beven et al., 2005) as local, often small scale, hydrological pathways can exert a major control on whether or not material is delivered to drainage networks (e.g. Blackwell et al., 1999; Burt et al., 1999; Quinn, 2004) as well as the deposition and transformation processes that result (Harris and Heathwaite, 2005). Hydrological modelling, for instance, suggests a complex pattern of overland flow generation during an individual storm event (Lane et al., 2004), with saturated parts of the landscape both connected and disconnected by overland flow to the drainage network. These patterns of runoff generation and hydrological connection occur at spatial scales of the order of 10 m or less (Lane et al., 2004; Heathwaite et al. 2005), often related to quite subtle topographic attributes. This local scale hydrological structuring of the landscape may exert an important control upon the connectivity of sources of risk to the landscape (e.g. Figure 1), leading to the idea of Critical Source Areas (CSAs, Heathwaite et al., 2000) which are parts of the landscape that generate risks that can be readily delivered to the drainage network. Delivery is a critical process in determining whether or not a risky land cover produces material that can reach the river network. Once delivered, additional hydrological processes may impact upon the level of instream risk, such as when tributaries with different suspended sediment concentrations meet, resulting in the dilution of one by the other (e.g. Figure 2).

The extent to which these three modelling approaches capture delivery is variable. There remains a tendency either: (1) to treat delivery processes in a simplified way (e.g. as some function of distance from the nearest stream, Munafo et al., 2005); or (2) to apply models with a potentially sophisticated treatment of delivery but at coarse spatial scales (e.g. 1 km, Adams et al., 1995), losing much of the spatial detail known to drive hydrological response. In this paper, we present a new approach to the modelling of landscape risks that is suited to large rural river catchments, but that also recognises that the drivers of the delivery of risks at the catchment scale include processes that occur at small, potentially sub-field, spatial scales. This need to transcend scale is well-established (e.g. Muscutt et al., 1993; Haycock and Muscutt, 1995; Kuusemets and Mander 1999; McKergow et al., 2003; Quinn, 2004). In theory, physically-based dynamic water quality models (see Borah and Bera, 2003, 2004) ought to do this. They represent delivery implicitly and

continuously as a catchment wets and dries using appropriate, commonly distributed, process rules. Borah and Bera review both the mathematical bases and parameterisation issues associated with a number of such models. Critically, whilst these models are physically-based, they commonly depend on model calibration. The information demands of model calibration, arising in complex models, may significantly exceed the information content of available data (Young et al., 1996; Heathwaite, 2003). This becomes more acute at large spatial scales: the poor availability of calibration data does not allow unambiguous estimation of the spatial distribution of key unknowns (e.g. soil depth); and many different model realisations may yield similar levels of model success (i.e. equifinality, Beven, 1989). This problem can be addressed in two ways. The first couples conventional predictive models with differing levels of process complexity for different scales (e.g. Quinn, 2004). Commonly, the finer the scale, the more complex is the process resolution. Models applied at the fine-scale are applied over smaller spatial units and for shorter time periods. This information is then transferred to coarser scales and longer time periods using generalisation tools in which each fine scale treatment is representative of other locations in the landscape (Quinn, 2004). Such an approach remains dependent upon suitable calibration data but also requires appropriate rules for generalising across scales. The second, adopted in this paper, uses a risk-based analysis in which the fine scale representation is applied to all locations in the landscape and then integrated up to the particular scale of enquiry.

Risk based analysis of this kind for diffuse pollution well-established (e.g. Jordan et al., 1994; Johnes, 1996; Johnes and Heathwaite, 1997; Heathwaite, 2003; Heathwaite et al., 2003a, b; Jordan and Smith, 1994; Munafo et al., 2005). Early applications of these methods focused upon determining the export of fine sediment and nutrients associated with particular land covers (e.g. Johnes, 1996), but gave much less attention to delivery, the process by which material produced at a location in the landscape is transported to the stream network. Herein, we focus upon incorporating a treatment of delivery into a risk-based analysis in the form of a single modelling framework, SCIMAP (Sensitive Catchment Integrated Modelling and Analysis Platform), applied across spatial scales, and with reference to salmonid fry. We take as our first premise the

203 fundamental property of catchments: they can be conceived as a set of flow paths that  
204 accumulate distributed sources of pollutants from across the landscape into the river corridor,  
205 where diffuse pollution may become visible, either during routine monitoring or through the  
206 occurrence of water quality problems (e.g. eutrophication). Given an observed downstream  
207 problem, and provided this can be attributed to diffuse sources, the primary challenge is to  
208 determine which parts of the landscape are most likely to be contributing to that problem. Our  
209 analysis is relative, in that we aim to judge the riskiness of one location in the landscape for  
210 locations in the downstream water environment as compared with all other locations in the  
211 landscape. This is what export coefficient models do implicitly, but they differ because they aim to  
212 translate their estimates of relative risks into absolute loadings to water courses (e.g. Johnes,  
213 1996; Johnes and Heathwaite, 1997). Subject to data availability, the analysis can be run for any  
214 size of catchment, and predictions are made for all landscape locations relative to each other  
215 upstream of the catchment outlet chosen. By starting at the coarse scale, and running the model  
216 for progressively smaller spatial units (sub-catchment, tributary, stream, field) it allows successive  
217 identification of the sub-catchments that merit prioritisation, followed by the tributaries within a  
218 prioritised sub-catchment, the streams within a prioritised tributary and finally the fields  
219 connecting to a prioritised stream.

220

221 The second premise is that analyses like these need to more carefully consider how to  
222 incorporate an assessment of delivery, which matters in both a physical and a biochemical sense.  
223 In physical terms, the ease of hydrological connection will control the delivery of both  
224 conservative (e.g. particulate) and non-conservative (e.g. nutrient) parameters. In biochemical  
225 terms, the type of connection (e.g. overland flow, versus pipeflow versus matrix flow) will  
226 determine the nature of the biochemical transformations that result. To date, most approaches  
227 have specified connectivity in terms of simple landscape attributes. For instance, Johnes and  
228 Heathwaite (1997) used a simple distance-decay function to model the impact of land cover  
229 change on nutrient concentrations in streams draining the Slapton catchment, southwest  
230 England. Gburek et al. (2000) used a conceptual approach parameterised with empirical data  
231 based upon a contributing area function for different stream reaches. They found that the risk of

phosphorus (P) delivery in surface runoff decreased with increasing distance from the stream, reflecting spatial variation in saturation-excess surface runoff: a rising stream water level in response to storm flow resulted in a rising water table in near-stream zones, so initiating P transport in surface runoff. The initiation of surface runoff from areas some distance from the stream required large magnitude long return period storms with the probability of surface runoff generation is low in such areas. Childress *et al.* (2002) defined relative connection in inverse proportion to the downslope distance from a given land unit to the drainage network.

The third premise is a challenge to classical approaches to modelling the impacts of diffuse pollution which tend to follow a hydrological process cascade (Lane, 2008). They begin by identifying the cascade of processes that might lead to a particular impact (e.g. soil erosion, leading to fine sediment delivery, leading to siltation of salmonid redds, leading to problems of fry emergence) and then break these down into the processes that need to be modelled (e.g. rainfall, evapotranspiration, infiltration, runoff generation, soil erosion, instream sedimentation). The cascade of processes leads to a hierarchy and the emphasis on the parts that make up this cascade make it reductionist. Furthermore, it is often unknown which aspects of the cascade matter to the impacted organisms. The focus of modelling is upon what is perceived to matter to an organism, sometimes supported by field or laboratory evidence, and despite conflicting ecological evidence over what might matter. Thus, herein, we use an inverse analysis based upon Bayesian methods. We include in the model the most rudimentary representations of processes that we think are sufficient: a treatment of erosion of material from the land surface; and a measure of the likelihood that eroded material can reach a river. We then use spatially distributed ecological data, in this case for salmonid fry, to determine which connected land covers seem to explain the ecological patterns.

## **Model development and application**

Formulation of the model requires: (1) determination of the generation risk ( $p^g$ ), here for material that can be eroded; (2) determination of the delivery index, or connection probability ( $p^c$ ) for that eroded material; (3) convolution of (1) and (2) to get the locational risk ( $p^{gc}$ ); (4) routing of the



locational risk to determine a risk loading ( $L_j$ ); and (5) transformation of the risk loading to a risk concentration ( $C_j$ ). An overview of the processing steps for the generation of the risk map is shown in Figure 3.

#### *Generation Risk*

The focus of this paper is a formulation of our modelling approach for risks that need to be eroded, such as fine sediment, rather than risks that are dissolved in water. The generation risk for material that must be eroded will be determined by: (1) the energy available for erosion (the hydrological risk); and (2) the resistance to erosion or erodibility, which is used to weight the hydrological risk. Thus, we define  $p_i^g$  as the product of the risk of there being sufficient energy available to erode ( $p_i^h$ ) and the risk of the material on the surface being erodible ( $p_i^e$ ):

$$p_i^g = p_i^h \cdot p_i^e$$

[1]

The energy available to erode is assumed to be positively correlated with: (1) the area draining through a point in the landscape per unit contour length (which will determine the depth of water and hence contribute to soil erosion potential),  $A_i$ ; and (2) the local slope,  $\beta_i$ ; as represented by a stream power index ( $\Omega_i$ ):

$$\Omega_i = A_i \tan \beta_i$$

[2]

This index is linearly scaled to give a hydrological risk of erosion between the largest 5% and smallest 5% of values defined by [2], and this defines  $p_i^h$ . Determination of  $p_i^h$  requires the use of the topographic data to determine the upslope area and local slope in [2].

287 In this paper, we present two methods for estimating  $p_i^e$  in this example, we do two things. First,  
288 we develop a logical approach where we use intuitive argument to estimate the effects of land  
289 cover on erodibility: (1) erodibility might be expected to be negligible or zero under woodland  
290 cover ( $p_i^e=0.00$ ); (2) it might rise slightly under moorland ( $p_i^e=0.05$ ); (3) rise further under  
291 extensive pasture ( $p_i^e=0.10$ ); (4) rise again under intensive or improved pasture ( $p_i^e=0.20$ ); and  
292 (5) rise significantly, to the maximum risk for any land cover (e.g. arable) where the land cover  
293 might be bare for part of the year ( $p_i^e=1.00$ ). It should be noted that an emphasis upon land cover  
294 may be warranted given that land cover is commonly correlated with soil type which also  
295 influences the erodibility. This approach then allows available land cover to be mapped onto  $p_i^e$ .  
296 However, it contains an implicit assumption that what matters is the erodibility of material, as  
297 conditioned by land cover. This may be relevant to an instream organism such as a salmonid, but  
298 the same amount of eroded material from disparate land covers may impact salmonids differently if  
299 the chemicals transported with the material are driving the degradation. So, second, we invert the  
300 problem and use a Bayesian approach to to identify the values of  $p_i^e$  that best reproduce the  
301 spatial structure of distributed salmonid fry counts: i.e. we make no *a priori* assumptions about the  
302 hydrological risk of erosion according to probable surface erodibility.

303

#### 304 *Delivery Index for eroded material*

305

306 Our treatment of delivery has two primary assumptions. First, conceptually, connectivity within a  
307 landscape can be viewed over a range of spatial and temporal scales. At a point in time, there will  
308 be a binary relationship between two points in space, either there is currently a connection  
309 between the two points or there is not. As the temporal scale is increased, there will be a  
310 distribution of connection durations which gives information on the frequency and length of the  
311 connected periods for each point in the landscape with the receiving waters. The shape of this  
312 distribution will be governed by interaction between the temporal structure of storm events, both  
313 within storms and between separate storms events, and the structure of the landscape. It will also  
314 determine the amount of material that will reach the channel (Reaney et al., 2007) and the

transformations that the material will undergo during transport. The primary assumption in our analysis is that these temporal distributions will be spatially structured, leading to a variable connection strength across the catchment. If we can find a reliable description of this spatial structure then we can use it to determine the likelihood that generated material is delivered to the drainage network. The nature of the required description will be dependent upon the type of material that is being delivered. For eroded material, the description must recognise that since eroded material is predominantly transported by overland flow, all of the flow path must be generating overland flow and this flow must be towards the drainage network for its entire length, in order for there to be connection. If a point on the flow path is not generating such flow, the water will infiltrate at that point and the eroded material will be deposited leading to the disconnection of the upper part of the slope (e.g. Figure 1). Thus, the point along a given flow path that is least likely to generate overland flow becomes the controlling location for the connection of all points upstream.

Our second assumption is that the topographic wetness index (Beven and Kirkby, 1979) can be used to describe the propensity to generate saturation excess overland flow for each point in the landscape: the higher the wetness, the greater the propensity for overland flow generation. The topographic wetness index expresses the propensity to saturation as the ratio of the upslope area per unit contour length draining through a point in the landscape and the tangent of the local slope, the latter assumed to represent the hydraulic gradient. Lane et al. (2004) show that the propensity to surface hydrological connectivity can then be described by the lowest value of the topographic wetness index along a flow path: the network index. Lane et al. (2009) show that the network index is effectively a measure of the propensity to vertical as opposed to lateral flow. In a system where delivery is dominated by surface or shallow subsurface flow, vertical flow reduces the propensity to disconnection.

Following the assumption made above, we make an ergodic hypothesis and assume that the network index implicitly contains a temporal dimension, one that applies equally to water, as it does to the material transported by that water. As the landscape wets up, more of the landscape

will become connected as points that were previously disconnected areas start to generate and transmit runoff and hence connect their upslope areas to the river channel. The reverse will happen during drying. Thus, a point with a higher network index is less likely to be disconnected from the drainage network and hence is more likely to be connected for a longer duration. Under the assumption of a topographic control on overland flow generation, the major challenge is how to map the network index onto the duration of connection, the latter expressed as a probability. Here, we assume that the mapping between network index and duration of connection is linear between the largest 5% of values of the network index (always connected, i.e. connection probability at location  $i$   $p_i^c = 1$ ) and the smallest 5% of values of the network index (never connected, i.e. connection probability  $p_i^c = 0$ ). The connection probability is taken as our delivery index for eroded material. We have tested this for a small catchment (52.1 km<sup>2</sup>) by comparison to a physically-based distributed hydrological model and have shown that our delivery index contains a significant amount of information in relation to both the probability and duration of hydrological connection in upland environments with shallow soils (Lane et al., 2009).

#### *Locational risk*

We now combine the generation and delivery risks to determine the locational risk of delivery of generated material to the drainage network ( $p_i^{gc}$ ):

$$p_i^{gc} = p_i^g \cdot p_i^c$$

[3]

#### *Routing, Accumulating and Dilution of locational risk*

We route and accumulate the locational risk under the assumption that this is controlled by the topographically-driven accumulating area: i.e. the risk at a point is the sum of all locational risks upstream of that point. This leads to the risk loading to a point in the drainage network ( $L_j$ ) with  $j$  upslope contributing cells which will increase monotonically with distance down through the drainage network:

$$L_j = \sum_{i=1}^j p_i^g \cdot p_i^c$$

[4]

The risk loading takes no account of: (1) the propensity for dilution, where a high loading from a small upstream contributing area will have a more serious environmental effect than a high loading from a high upstream contributing area; or (2) loss of risk (e.g. due to deposition or chemical transformation). In this paper, we assume that although deposition results in the local degradation of habitat, for fine sediment this deposition is relatively small as compared to that which is delivered, meaning that there is no need to correct for the loss of risk. This assumption is commonly made in sediment delivery models for large river basins (e.g. Naden and Cooper, 1999) and is supported by sediment budget studies. For instance, Owens *et al.* (1999) showed that only 4% of the fine sediment delivered was deposited in the bed of the River Tweed, although the loss may be greater once the transition of gravel to sand has passed (as in lowland systems, e.g. Collins and Walling, 2007). As the focus of this work is habitat where the bed is still predominantly gravel, we believe this is an acceptable assumption to make. However, as Figure 3 shows, dilution is a property of drainage networks that cannot be overlooked. The simplest way to deal with dilution is to scale the loading by the upslope contributing area to give a risk loading per unit area, akin to a concentration ( $C_j$ );

$$C_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^j p_i^g \cdot p_i^c}{\sum_{i=1}^j a_i \cdot r_i}$$

[5]

where:  $a_i$  is the cell size and  $r_i$  is the rainfall weighting factor. This equation takes account of possible rainfall variations between sub catchments and the propensity for such variation will increase with basin size. This is represented by weighting upslope contributing areas by the amount of upstream contributed precipitation, using temporal averages that reflect the time-integration of the study. However, such an analysis is complicated by the fact that spatial variability in precipitation should also result in spatial variability in connectivity. Hence, the

397 predicted relative long term average wetness, calculated using the topographic wetness index,  
398 also utilises the rainfall weighting factor.

399

#### 400 *Bayesian Analysis with Respect to Generation Risk*

401 In the model described above, there will be uncertainty associated with: (1) the determination of  
402 the hydrological risk of erosion; (2) the relationship between land cover and soil erodibility; (3) the  
403 relationship between topographic data uncertainty and the network index; (4) the scaling between  
404 the network index and the delivery index; (5) the impacts of topographic uncertainty upon flow  
405 paths and hence both flow and risk accumulation; (6) the simple manner in which the risk loading  
406 is transformed into a risk concentration using the rainfall weighted upslope contributing area; and  
407 (7) the meaning of our estimates of risk to instream organisms. In our definition of risk, high levels  
408 of generation and high levels of connection are assumed to produce a higher level of risk.  
409 However, it is possible that for a particular organism, this risk may be good or bad. For instance,  
410 O'Grady (2003) notes that Atlantic salmon are particularly dependent upon invertebrates  
411 supported by autochthonous production of organic matter, something that is more likely to be  
412 sustained by higher levels of nutrient input. Brown trout will also feed on invertebrates supported  
413 by allochthonous production of organic matter. Thus, a land cover such as deciduous woodland  
414 that simultaneously produces organic matter whilst reducing the erosion of sediment-bound  
415 nutrients such as phosphorus, will produce 'good' risk for trout and 'bad' risk for Atlantic salmon.  
416 This is just one example of the confounding influence of land use on the net ecosystem  
417 productivity, which manifests itself, in our case, as uncertainty as to exactly what our risk  
418 estimates mean for instream organisms. In addition there will be interaction between these  
419 uncertainties, notably arising from the time integration and the possibility that particular land  
420 management practices are coincident with particular periods of higher or lower connectivity. The  
421 causes of uncertainties (1), (3) through (6) and the interaction uncertainties are well known and  
422 hence potentially modelled. However, the uncertainties associated with (2) and (7) are more  
423 acute and so we compliment our use of logical reasoning with a Bayesian method in which we  
424 infer erosion weights, and hence our risk estimates, with reference to the spatially-distributed data  
425 described above, a form of inverse modelling (Lane, 2008).

426

427 The Bayesian method that we use is effectively a likelihood estimation procedure (e.g. Beven and  
428 Binley, 1992) in which we infer the range of plausible  $p_i^e$  values in a Monte Carlo sampling  
429 framework from the spatially-distributed fry data that we have. This approach reduces the  
430 uncertainty that derives from the imposition of our own assumptions as to what constitutes a  
431 'good' or 'bad' land cover, as happens with our logical estimates. We undertook 30,000 model  
432 simulations, randomly selecting values in the range  $0 \leq p_i^e \leq 1$  for each land cover for each  
433 simulation. We then determined the values of an objective function, to describe the level of  
434 association between risk estimates and fry abundance appropriate to the nature of the  
435 abundance data (Equation 7), for each simulation. Simulations were ranked according to the  
436 estimated value of the objective function for each simulation from most likely to least likely.  
437 Starting with the  $x$  most likely simulations, we determined the mean and standard deviation of the  
438 parameter values associated with those  $x$  simulations, then progressively increased  $x$ , each time  
439 recalculating the mean and the standard deviation. The maximum value of  $x$  considered was 200.  
440 The plot of rank against mean and standard deviation allows us to see two things: (1) those land  
441 covers whose  $p_i^e$  values matter will be characterised by a narrow range of values, or a small  
442 standard deviation; and (2) whether or not the  $p_i^e$  values themselves match our *a priori*  
443 expectations of the relative erodibility associated with different land covers. In the final stage of  
444 analysis, for each value of  $x$ , we compared the mean and standard deviation of parameter values  
445 for each value of  $x$  greater than 10 with the mean and standard deviation of parameter values for  
446 the 30,000 simulations. Where the difference was significant at the 95% level (Student's  $t$ , two-  
447 tailed) we concluded that weighting of the source risk by a particular land cover mattered.

448

## 449 **Case study and data sources**

450 In this paper, we develop and assess the SCIMAP (Sensitive Catchment Integrated Modelling  
451 and Planning) framework for the 2310 km<sup>2</sup> River Eden catchment in northern England (Figure 4).  
452 The Eden catchment comprises a range of land covers, with four dominant: arable; intensive or  
453 improved pasture; extensive pasture; and moorland. There are a range of physical, ecological

454 and topographic conditions, with the geology ranging from sandstone to limestone and instream  
455 ecological conditions ranging from oligotrophic to mesotrophic (Parsons et al. 2001). The large  
456 spatial area, variety of land cover classes and differing ecological environments make the Eden  
457 catchment a useful place to test the prediction of the risk model.

458

459 In the form that the risk management framework is applied here, it requires the following data  
460 sources: (1) topographic data of appropriate spatial resolution and vertical precision; (2) land  
461 cover data; and (3) rainfall data to determine potential dilution effects. For the topographic data,  
462 we use Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar data produced by InterMap. This comprises 5 m  
463 resolution digital terrain data (i.e. after trees and buildings have been removed) that is estimated  
464 to be precise to  $\pm 1.0\text{m}$ . These data required pre-processing in two steps. First, although  
465 topographic depressions or pits in the landscape are genuine features, they are often errors in the  
466 DEM surface. In particular, the determination of the upslope contributing area and flow routing  
467 requires information on the direction in which the water would flow once a pit has been flooded.  
468 Therefore, to calculate the upslope contributing area the pits in the digital elevation model (DEM)  
469 are filled using the Planchon and Darboux (2001) algorithm. This pre-processing is only utilised  
470 for the calculation of the upslope contributing area and other terrain derivatives, such as slope, are  
471 calculated with the original DEM. This processing enables the capturing of the impact of  
472 depressions and their role in landscape disconnection while accurately representing the upslope  
473 contributing area. The second step is the calculation of the upslope contributing area for each  
474 point in the landscape. We use the D-infinity ( $D_{\infty}$ ) method of Tarboton (1997). This method  
475 utilises multiple flow paths to give an accurate representation of the flow direction and avoids the  
476 straight line artefacts of simpler approaches such as D8 (Gallant and Wilson 1996).

477

478 We use the land cover map of Great Britain for 2000 (Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, 2000) to  
479 give land cover estimates at a 30 m resolution, which were then interpolated from 30 m onto the  
480 finer scale data using a nearest neighbour algorithm. As the land cover dataset is synoptic and  
481 dated, it is probable that the actual nature of land management is misrepresented. However, as



482 data from the annual Agricultural Census remains confidential at resolutions higher than the  
483 parish scale, this was judged as the best alternative.

484

485 The spatial pattern of rainfall is derived from the UK Metrological Office long term annual average  
486 rainfall dataset (Perry and Hollis 2005). This dataset was then interpolated onto the topographic  
487 data resolution used herein via a nearest neighbour algorithm.

488

489 We use salmonid fry data from the Eden Rivers Trust, who have conducted catchment-wide  
490 surveys of brown trout and Atlantic salmon fry (0+ year class / subyearling) for the years 2002 –  
491 2005 at 200-300 sites per year (Maltby, 2002, Townsend-Cartwright, 2004, Dickson, 2004,  
492 Dickson et al., 2005). These surveys have been carried out using semi-quantitative electrofishing  
493 following the approach of Crozier and Kennedy (1994). The method is semi-quantitative as it is  
494 based upon single-pass, rather than repeat-pass electrofishing. The focus on salmon fry is based  
495 upon observations that suggest that for these species, fry tend to remain within 100s of metres of  
496 their spawning site. For example, Einum and Nislow (2005) observed fry to remain within 644m  
497 and 884m downstream, and 1,500m and 642m upstream of their redd in two years of  
498 observations respectively, with the median dispersal range being 92m and 41m in Year 1 and  
499 Year 2 respectively. Similarly, Kennedy (1982, cited in Crisp, 1996) found over 70% of fry to be  
500 within 100m downstream of their stocking point. There are always exceptions and Beall et al.,  
501 (1994, cited in Crisp, 1996) found that salmon had dispersed over 2000m downstream, with a  
502 substantial number moving between 1000m and 1500m by the October of their first year. It has  
503 been suggested that dispersal of fry is constrained by: (1) energetic costs and the lack of feeding  
504 opportunities during dispersal, which may lead to starvation; and (2) increased exposure to  
505 predators (Einum and Nislow, 2005). This lack of mobility within the first few weeks and months of  
506 life means that fry are highly susceptible to density-dependent mortality and, as a result, to local  
507 habitat conditions which regulate the local carrying-capacity. Parr and adult populations can be  
508 more mobile, with dispersal ranging from 10s m-1000s m. Dispersal downstream is typically  
509 greater than the degree of dispersal upstream. Some dominant fish may aggressively defend a

510 small localised territory which is profitable for food, whilst other, more subordinate fish may be  
511 more mobile and 'float' between territories (Suter and Huntingford, 2002).

512

513 These observations inform the sampling strategy adopted in the Eden Rivers Trust sampling  
514 strategy. Typically, these species spawn in the Northern hemisphere winter and the alevin  
515 emerge in late winter / early spring. Thus, the semi-quantitative electrofishing focused upon late  
516 spring and summer, corresponding to the fry life stage. Here, we use data from 2002 and 2003. In  
517 both years, sampling was stratified to sites where trout fry were expected to be found (suitable  
518 riffle habitat). Suitable trout fry locations were then sampled randomly, with no repetition. Catch  
519 efficiency was recorded at each site. This was defined as the number of caught fish as a  
520 percentage of the total number of fish that could be caught (i.e. caught, plus visually observed but  
521 not caught) (Hilborn and Walters, 1992). To focus on sites where we had a reasonable  
522 confidence in the salmonid fry estimates, we followed Crozier and Kennedy's (1984)  
523 recommendation and only sites where catch efficiency exceeded 60% were used in all of the  
524 subsequent analysis. Thus, 17.5% of sites were rejected by this criterion in 2002 and 17.6% in  
525 2003. This has the potential to introduce some bias into our dataset as the sites that were  
526 excluded were ones where fish were present, but unidentifiable. However, the sites with low catch  
527 efficiency were randomly distributed in space and the proportions were relatively small. The two  
528 years of data were not pooled as interannual variability in catchment scale abundance did occur,  
529 which we assume is related to catchment-scale exogenous factors (such as broad climatic  
530 variability). All samples were transformed to abundance, defined as the number of fry sampled  
531 per 5 minute interval. We checked for spatial autocorrelation in our analysis. Ideally, each sample  
532 location should contain a brown trout population independent of all other locations: i.e. it should  
533 be associated with a distinct set of redds which may or may not have been impacted upon by the  
534 upstream catchment characteristics. This independence was easier to achieve given the  
535 restricted range of dispersal of brown trout. However, to check for this independence, we  
536 calculated the distance between adjacent sites and thresholded this to determine an index of the  
537 number of non-independent sites. We could not identify significant spatial autocorrelation in the  
538 datasets provided sites were set at > 500 m apart. Where this was not the case, one of the two

539 sites causing the spatial autocorrelation was randomly sampled and removed. Finally, a subset of  
540 semiquantitative sites were compared with the results of fully quantitative fishing as a final check  
541 on the quality of the semiquantitative estimates.

542

543 The focus on salmonid fry is valuable in this application for three reasons. First, salmonid fry are  
544 the least mobile life stage and supporting the assumption that their abundance is strongly  
545 influenced by exposure to local conditions, and hence contain a spatial signal that reflects the  
546 spatial variability in those local conditions. Second, the recruitment of salmonid fry is though to be  
547 impacted by processes that are associated with surface transport of eroded material (e.g. fine  
548 sediment, pollutants bound to fine sediment). For instance, low fry counts have been attributed to  
549 fine sediment infiltration into spawning gravels (Soulsby et al., 2001; Greig et al., 2005), which  
550 reduces the supply of the oxygen required for effective incubation and alevin emergence. There  
551 are two main disadvantages of using such validation data in this application: the abundance of fry  
552 will reflect other potential limits upon fry recruitment. Therefore the data may contain a spatial  
553 signal that is driven by other factors and hence be noisy with respect to the fine sediment signal  
554 that is a focus of this research. The second is that the method is only semi-quantitative: in order  
555 to allow a larger number of sites to be sampled, it is based upon single pass rather than multiple  
556 pass. In order to assess the general reliability of the semi-quantitative data, fully quantitative  
557 electro-fishing was undertaken for a subsample of sites in each sample year.

558

559 Comparison of risk predictions with the fry abundance data considered risk predictions classified  
560 by the presence/absence of fry but also the relationship between fry abundance and associated  
561 predicted risk. For the presence/absence analysis, generated risk predictions were extracted at  
562 sites in the river Eden catchment with and without fry present. Mann Whitney tests were used to  
563 examine whether there was a statistically significant difference in the central tendency of the risk  
564 predictions. Two sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests were also used to test whether there was a  
565 difference in the distribution (location and shape) of the risk predictions between sites with and  
566 without salmonid fry. Nonparametric tests were selected due to the non-normal distribution of the  
567 data which could not be corrected for using standard transformation procedures.

568

569 In order to understand the relationship between individual fry abundance values and SCIMAP  
 570 predictions of risk concentration we developed an objective function. Semi-quantitative fry  
 571 abundance data are commonly severely skewed, with many sites have low or zero abundance  
 572 and a small number of sites having a high abundance. As a result, most descriptors of fry  
 573 abundance use classifications. Here, we adopt the classification of Crozier and Kennedy (1994)  
 574 which has five classes: Excellent; Very Good; Average; Poor; and Very Poor. We use these data  
 575 in two ways. First, we classify the predicted risk concentration values for each fry sampling site in  
 576 each year into five categories: very low risk); low risk; average risk; high risk; and very high risk.  
 577 We use this to determine a contingency tabulation of risk concentration class ( $p$ ) with fry  
 578 abundance class ( $q$ ). This is used to determine an objective function based upon the orthogonal  
 579 distance ( $d_{pq}$ ) of each combination of risk concentration class and fry class from the diagonal of  
 580 equality ( $p=q$ ), weighted by the number of sites classed into  $pq$ :

581

582

$$OF = \frac{\sum_{q=1}^5 \sum_{p=1}^5 d_{pq} n_{pq}}{\sum_{q=1}^5 \sum_{p=1}^5 n_{pq}} = \frac{\sum_{q=1}^5 \sum_{p=1}^5 0.5[2(p-q)^2]^{0.5} n_{pq}}{\sum_{q=1}^5 \sum_{p=1}^5 n_{pq}}$$

583

[6]

584 Using [6], and with the five classes used here, a perfect level of agreement should be with an  
 585  $OF = 0$  and a perfect level of disagreement should be with an  $OF = 2^{1.5}$ . Thus, we rescale [6] to  
 586 vary from 1 (perfect agreement) to 0 (perfect disagreement):

587

$$OF = 1 - \frac{\sum_{q=1}^5 \sum_{p=1}^5 0.5[2(p-q)^2]^{0.5} n_{pq}}{2^{1.5} \sum_{q=1}^5 \sum_{p=1}^5 n_{pq}}$$

588

[7]

589

590 The objective functions were used in three ways. First, they were used in a conventional  
 591 validation, in which the reasoned erodibility weights were used in the risk estimation. Second,  
 592 they were used for the Bayesian modelling as a means of determining the values of the erodibility

weights on each land cover required to maximise the objective function. The appeal of this approach is that it makes no prior assumptions about the land cover weightings required in relation to salmonid populations. Third, the OF [7] was applied to test the level of agreement between the quantitative and the semi-quantitative fry data. The OF value for salmon fry was 0.874 and that for trout fry was 0.842. In contingency terms, the accuracy of the comparison (i.e. the percentage of sites for which  $p = q$ ) was 66.7% for salmon and 61.3% for trout. The accuracy measure does not take into account the percentage of sites for which  $p$  would equal  $q$  under random sampling of all  $p, q$  combinations. Given the class memberships of salmon and trout, we would expect the percentage level of agreement under random assignment to be 14.5% and 16.8% for salmon and trout respectively. Thus, we conclude that the semi-quantitative data contain a significant signal for the purposes of this analysis. We note that the OF values calculated from [7] (i.e. 0.874 and 0.872) represent the upper limits of the possible OF values that might be achievable during inverse modelling due to uncertainties in the semi-quantitative data. Only semi-quantitative data are used in the subsequent analysis.

## Results

### *Model application using logical erodibility weights*

**Error! Reference source not found.** shows the main derivatives of the DEM that are used in the calculation of the point scale soil erodibility risk. **Error! Reference source not found.**a shows the network index which is used to determine the surface flow connection risk. The map shows that the areas of the Eden catchment that are predicted to be the most highly connected are located in the western section of the lowlands. The Pennine hillslopes on the eastern side of the catchment and the Lake District hillslopes in the south west are predicted to be the least connected areas. **Error! Reference source not found.**b shows the predicted spatial pattern of the soil erodibility as determined by the surface land cover. This map shows that the greatest risk of soil erosion occurs in the main lowland plain of the Eden catchment, especially towards the north-west. **Error! Reference source not found.**c shows the distribution of the stream power index which

622 represents the energy available to erode the surface. The highest values of the stream power  
623 index occur on the steep slopes located both on the Pennine and Lake District hillslopes. In the  
624 areas of the catchment which have high soil erodibility risk (**Error! Reference source not**  
625 **found.b)** tend to be negatively correlated with the high stream power index (**Error! Reference**  
626 **source not found.c).**

627

628 Figure 5d and Figure 5e show the convolution of the source area analysis with the connectivity  
629 analysis. The effect of this calculation (Figure 5d) is to highlight areas predominantly in  
630 the catchment headwaters and certain areas in the main valley as being at risk of erosion due to  
631 hydrological processes. This does not take into account the mitigating effect of land cover, which  
632 is introduced via the erodibility treatment in Figure 5e. This removes much of the area classified  
633 as having a high risk of erosion and focuses the risk in the main valley of the  
634 catchment, largely where arable land is well-connected to the drainage network. Note the  
635 implication here is both curative and preventative. An environmental restoration strategy aiming to  
636 reduce risk (i.e., curative) would focus upon Figure 5e. However, strategies aimed at  
637 preventing further environmental degradation would evaluate the locations in Figure 5d are  
638 where a change land management activities should be evaluated carefully in order to prevent  
639 future environmental degradation..

640

641 In the final stage of the analysis, we integrate through to the drainage network. **Error! Reference**  
642 **source not found.** shows the accumulated risk weighted by the dilution potential, essentially the  
643 risk concentration. If the convolution of connectivity and locational risk were everywhere uniform,  
644 and the rainfall field homogeneous, then the risk should accrue linearly as the potential for dilution  
645 accrues. Where the risk is some multiple of the standard deviation greater than the mean, then  
646 the risk is increasing disproportionately faster than the increase in dilution potential: i.e. a  
647 particular risky input to the drainage network has been identified. Where the risk is some multiple  
648 of the standard deviation less than the mean, the risk is increasing disproportionately more slowly  
649 than the increase in dilution potential and the drainage network is benefiting from low risk inputs.  
650 If we consider hydrological risk without land cover weighting (**Error! Reference source not**

**found.a)**, this would focus diffuse pollution mitigation activities on the catchment headwaters, where the risk of erosion and the risk of connectivity combine to cause an accumulated risk that is not well balanced by accumulating dilution potential. These are sensitive areas of the catchment where land covers or land use practices that allow more erosion (e.g. temporary change in land cover due to heather burning) might have a major water quality impact due to increased export of fine sediment. However, **Error! Reference source not found.b** shows that when the land cover weighting is introduced, concern switches to areas lower in the drainage basin, where the presence of arable cropping, and hence the risk of the land surface being bare, results in a different identification of risky sub catchments.

### ***Model testing using logical risk estimates***

Table 1 shows that the risk predictions discriminate extremely effectively between the presence and absence of trout fry in both 2002 and 2003, and the Mann Whitney and Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests reveal that these differences are statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ). The risk predictions are less effective at discriminating between sites where salmon fry are present and absent. Differences in the mean risk are slight and Mann Whitney tests are not significant ( $p > 0.05$ ) in both years. However, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests for sites with and without salmon fry do report a statistically significant difference in the distribution of risk predictions for all years excepting 2005. This suggests that there may still be a relationship between risk predictions and salmon fry but that it is non-linear. To investigate the ecological significance of the risk predictions further, salmonid abundance data were also examined. The risk predictions were classified into five equal membership risk classes ranging from 1 (the 20% of sample locations with the lowest risk) and 5 (the 20% of sample locations with the highest risk).

### ***Model application using the Bayesian-based inverse modelling***

Figure 7 shows the results of the inverse modelling for the years 2002 (Figure 7a and Figure 7c) and 2003 (Figure 7b and Figure 7d) for both trout (Figure 7a and Figure 7b) and salmon (Figure

7c and Figure 7d). In these plots, the solid line plots the mean erodibility against the associated objective function value. As the objective function value is defined as a perfect association with a value of 1.0, the mean weighting for the best 10 simulations is the farthest right of each plot and the mean weighting for the best 200 simulations is the farthest left on each plot. The dashed line is the standard deviation of the erodibilities assigned to each land cover. These results can be interpreted as follows. First, as we randomly sampled erodibility weights ( $p_i^g$ ) between zero and one, the mean of all 30,000 simulations was 0.50 and the standard deviation  $\pm 0.29$ . The arable land cover plots in Figure 7 have mean and standard deviations almost identical to these values for almost all values of the objective function. Thus, for this analysis, the erodibility weighting given to arable land cover in the risk analysis could be sampled randomly between 0 and 1, and there would be no impact upon the value of the objective function achieved. Thus, the extent to which there are arable cover classes upstream of a fry sample point does not seem to explain the spatial variability in fry populations. Second, the results are very different for improved pasture. Here, the standard deviations widen as the magnitude of the objective function (the level of association between salmonid fry abundance and the predicted risk) is reduced, suggesting that a narrower range of erodibility weights needs to be applied to the improved pasture to optimise the association between risk and fry. Similarly, the erodibility weights that produce higher levels of association between predicted risk and salmonid populations are generally greater than 0.75, although in two cases (Figures 7a and 7c), the weightings trend towards 0.5 at lower values of the objective function. For the 200 best simulations shown in the improved pasture plots in Figure 7, the mean erodibility is still statistically distinguishable from 0.5 (at  $p = 0.05$ ). Thus, to get good levels of agreement with observed data, improved pasture should not be assigned randomly. Following from the ordinal form of the objective functions ([7]), this can be interpreted symmetrically: the extent to which there are improved pasture land uses, as filtered by the propensity to connect in the risk analysis, upstream of a fry sample point is important in explaining the spatial variability in fry populations; or where improved pasture is hydrologically connected, it produces higher risk, in relation to the spatial variability in fry populations. The reverse is true for extensive grazing: in all cases, well-connected extensive grazing sites produce low risk from the perspective of fry populations. The third, and perhaps most interesting



observation relates to moorland. For trout, in both 2002 and 2003, the required erodibility weighting must be low: the standard deviation increases rapidly as the values of the objective function fall (Figure 7a and 7b) suggesting that only low weightings of moorland give the best values of the objective function. For salmon, also in both 2002 and 2003, the required land cover risk weighting must be high, and again, the weighting seems to matter, albeit only for the best 150 or so simulations (e.g. values of the objective function less than c. 0.575 in Figure 7d). There appears to be a functional difference between what salmon fry and trout fry view as creating risk: well-connected moorland is risky for salmon but not for trout.

## **Discussion and conclusions**

The primary findings of this work are four fold. First, combination of hydrological connection and erodibility into a risk model produces patterns of risk that vary spatially in ways that distinguish both salmonid and trout fry populations. Second, there are functional differences between salmon and trout as to what constitutes a risky land cover. The ecological interpretation of these differences is currently under way. For instance, it is well-known that trout can display strongly territorial behaviour (e.g. Elliot, 1994). If trout have a preference for low levels of risk associated with a combination of poor hydrological connection and large amounts of upstream moorland, then this may be to the exclusion of salmonid fry in those locations. Thus, the higher optimised erodibility weight of moorland for salmon may not be because moorland is bad, but simply sites with large amounts of well-connected moorland upstream are preferential to trout.

Third, and related to this moorland weighting finding, the work demonstrates the importance of inverse modelling (Lane, 2008), certainly in studies of fish populations, but also diffuse pollution more widely. The logical assignments of erodibility originally identified were very different to those identified using inverse modelling. Notably, we identified that the erodibility weightings assigned to arable land covers were unimportant, that those associated with improved pasture needed to be much higher ( $\sim 0.75$ ) than expected (0.2) and that those assigned to moorland were species dependent. It is worth reflecting on why our logical assignments were incorrect. This was largely because we had a perceptual model that emphasised the delivery of fine sediment to the water

course as being instrumental to habitat degradation. Hence, we weighted our erosion potential by a land cover defined erodibility ([1]). There is good support for this, as whilst female salmon are commonly able to clear out fines from river gravels during construction of a redd, high rates of delivery of fine sediment to the redd (e.g. Soulsby et al., 2001; Greig et al., 2005) can prevent fry emergence. However, this is only one of a number of possible impacts of fine sediment erosion. The inverse modelling results question at least two possible dimensions of the logical analysis: (i) that the association between erodibility and land cover was as we hypothesised (i.e. arable is the most erodible); and/or (ii) that the eroded material that causes habitat degradation is associated with particular land covers because it carries other potentially problematic material such as animal waste. The observation that improved pasture requires a higher erodibility risk factor may not reflect the fact that improved pasture is more erodible, but rather that material eroded from improved pasture carries risks that impact disproportionately upon salmonids. Although this is only one of a number of possible explanations for the weightings arrived at during inverse modelling, and the account of the importance of and dynamics of pesticides is only one part of a more complex process of pesticide behaviour, it emphasises the advantage of spatially rich data in guiding the model building process, notably that associated with what we perceive is important. We cannot be certain about the pesticide explanation but, in management terms, and notably in the context of a precautionary approach, the formulation of the risk analysis is advantageous. The high risks are not only caused by particular land covers but also the process of delivery. Thus, where the science is uncertain (exactly why improved pasture seems to be responsible for degradation of salmonid fry), and may not be sufficient to justify landscape scale changes in agricultural practice, our approach focuses analyses upon upon a sub-set of fields that are both potentially risky in terms of the generation of material (e.g. improved pasture) and hydrologically well-connected.

Fourth, this analysis is based upon demonstrating that hydrologically-connected risks impact the spatial structure of fry. This is likely to be only one factor, as part of a multitude of different factors, including local riparian scale factors, such as canopy cover and in stream barriers, that need to be considered. We are currently exploring combining the risk predictions with information

on other possible causes of salmonid habitat degradation in a multivariate framework in order to address the relative importance of the methodological approach reported here.

This analysis does make a number of important hydrological assumptions regarding the ways in which the landscape mediates patterns of water flow and hence the transfer of material from the landscape to rivers and streams. In this case, our parameterisation of delivery, including the simple linear transformation of the Network Index into a delivery index, was tested upon a hydrologically-similar upland catchment by comparison with a distributed and physically-based hydrological model (Lane *et al.*, 2009). This does not take into account the effects of soil depth, vegetation cover etc. A more computationally intensive approach would use a time-dependent numerical model to simulate the actual percentage of time that a point in a catchment is saturated and able to export, and use this information to assign a relative risk to each location. However, such an approach requires use of additional hydrological theory. The simplicity of the risk-based approach becomes undermined as attention has to be given to the well-established problems of calibrating hydrological models. However, such an approach opens up the possibility of simulating how the relative risk of connection changes due to the effect of climate change upon rainfall and evapotranspiration, and hence upon the amount of time that each location is surface connected. In relation to the focus of this manuscript, salmonid fry, thinking through how to parameterise the delivery index may provide a means of exploring assumptions regarding the impacts of climate and landscape change upon fry habitat.

## Acknowledgements

This paper is based upon work funded by NERC CONNECT grant NE/C508850/1 and Environment Agency award SC070014. The authors are grateful to a series of Eden Rivers Trust Fisheries Officers for managing the fisheries data collection and analysis. The paper benefited from exceptionally helpful and constructive comments from two anonymous reviewers.

## References

796 Adams, R., Dunn, S.M., Lunn, R., Mackay, R. and O'Callaghan, J.R., 1995. Assessing the  
 797 performance of the NELUP hydrological models for river basin planning. *Journal of*  
 798 *Environmental Planning and Management*, **38**, 53-76.

799 Allan, J.D., and Johnson, L.B. 1997. Catchment-scale analysis of aquatic ecosystems.  
 800 *Freshwater Biology*, **37**, 107–111.

801 Armstrong, J.D., Grant, J.W.A., Forsgren, H.L., Fausch, K.D., DeGraaf, R.M., Fleming, I.A.,  
 802 Prowse, T.D and Schlosser, I.J., 1998. The application of science to the management of  
 803 Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*): integration across scales. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries*  
 804 *and Aquatic Science*, **55**(Suppl. 1), 303–11.

805 Armstrong, J.D., Kemp, P.S., Kennedy, G.J.A., Ladle, M. and Milner, N.J., 2003. Habitat  
 806 requirements of Atlantic salmon and brown trout in rivers and streams. *Fisheries*  
 807 *Research*, **62**, 143-170.

808 Benda, L., Poff, N.L., Miller, D., Dunne, T., Reeves, G., Pess, G. and Pollock, M., 2004. The  
 809 network dynamics hypothesis: how channel networks structure riverine habitats.  
 810 *Bioscience*, **54**, 413-427.

811 Beven, K.J. and Binley, A., 1992. The Future of Distributed Models: Model Calibration and  
 812 Uncertainty Prediction *Hydrological Processes*, **6**, 279-298

813 Beven, K.J. and Kirkby, M.J. 1979. A Physically Based, Variable Contributing Area Model of  
 814 Basin Hydrology; *Hydrological Sciences Bulletin* **24**, 43-6

815 Beven, KJ. and Wood, E.F., 1983. Catchment geomorphology and the dynamics of runoff  
 816 contributing areas. *Journal of Hydrology*, **65**, 139–158.

817 Beven, KJ., Heathwaite, AL., Haygarth, PM., Walling, DE., Brazier, RE. and Withers, P., 2005. On  
 818 the concept of delivery of sediment and nutrients to stream channels. *Hydrological*  
 819 *Processes*, **19**, 551-556.

820 Binder, C., Boumans, R.M. and Costanza, R., 2003. Applying the Patuxent Landscape Unit Model  
 821 to human dominated ecosystems: the case of agriculture. *Ecological Modelling*, **159**, 161-  
 822 77.

823 Bishop, K., Seibert, J., Kohler, S. and Laudon, H., 2004. Resolving the double paradox of rapidly  
824 mobilised old water with highly variable responses in runoff chemistry. *Hydrological*  
825 *Processes*, **18**, 185–189.

826 Blackwell, M.S.A., Hogan, D.V. and Maltby E., 1999. The use of conventionally and alternatively  
827 located buffer zones for the removal of nitrate from diffuse agricultural run-off. *Water*  
828 *Science and Technology*, **39**, 157-64.

829 Borah, D.K. and Bera, M., 2003. Watershed-scale hydrologic and nonpoint-source pollution  
830 models: Review of mathematical bases. *Transactions of the American Society of*  
831 *Agricultural Engineers*, **46**, 1553-66.

832 Borah, D.K. and Bera, M., 2004. Watershed-scale hydrologic and nonpoint-source pollution  
833 models: Review of applications. *Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural*  
834 *Engineers*, **47**, 789-803.

835 Bowes, M.J., Hilton, J., Irons. G.P. and Hornby, D.D. 2005: The relative contribution of sewage  
836 and diffuse phosphorus sources in the River Avon catchment, southern England:  
837 Implications for nutrient management. *Science of the Total Environment*, **344**, 67-81.

838 Burt, T.P. and Pinay, G., 2005. Linking hydrology and biogeochemistry in complex landscapes.  
839 *Progress in Physical Geography*, **29**, 297-316.

840 Burt, T.P., Matchett, L.S., Goulding, K.W.T., Webster, C.P. and Haycock, N.E., 1999.  
841 Denitrification in riparian buffer zones: the role of floodplain hydrology. *Hydrological*  
842 *Processes*, **13**, 1451-63.

843 Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, 2000. *Land Cover Map of Great Britain*, CEH, Wallingford,  
844 <http://www.ceh.ac.uk/data/lcm/>.

845 Collins, A.L. and Walling, D.E., 2007. Fine-grained bed sediment storage within the main channel  
846 systems of the Frome and Piddle catchments, Dorset, UK. *River Research and*  
847 *Applications*, **23**, 429-450.

848 Crisp, 1996. Environmental requirements of common riverine European salmonid fish species in  
849 fresh water with particular reference to physical and chemical aspects. *Hydrobiologia*,  
850 **323**, 201-21.

851 Crozier, W.W. and Kennedy, G.J.A. 1994. Application of semi-quantitative electrofishing to  
 852 juvenile salmonid stock surveys. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **45**, 159-164.

853 De Roo, A.P.J. and Jetten, V.G., 1999. Calibrating and validating the LISEM model for two data  
 854 sets from the Netherlands and South Africa. *Catena*, **37**, 477–493.

855 Dickson, J. 2004. Semi-quantitative electrofishing survey in support of the development of  
 856 catchment-scale restoration targeting – 2004. Eden Rivers Trust.

857 Dillon, P.J. and Molot, L.A., 1997. Effect of landscape form on export of dissolved organic carbon,  
 858 iron and phosphorus from forested stream catchments. *Water Resources Research*, **33**,  
 859 2591–2600.

860 Durance, I., Lepichon, C., Ormerod, S.J., 2006. Recognizing the Importance of Scale in the  
 861 Ecology and Management of Riverine Fish. *River Research and Applications*, **22**, 1143-  
 862 1152.

863 Einum, S. and Nislow, K.H., 2005. Local-scale density-dependent survival of mobile organisms in  
 864 continuous habitats: an experimental test using Atlantic salmon. *Oecologia*, **143**, 203-10.

865 Ekholm, P., Turtola, E., Juha Grönroos, J., Seuri, P. and Ylivainio, K., 2005. Phosphorus loss  
 866 from different farming systems estimated from soil surface phosphorus balance.  
 867 *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **110**, 266–278.

868 Gallant, J. C. and Wilson, J. P. 1996. TAPES-G: A grid based terrain analysis program for the  
 869 environmental sciences. *Computers and Geosciences*, **22**, 713 - 722

870 Gburek, W.J., Sharpley, A.N., Heathwaite, A.L. and Folmar, G., 2000. Phosphorus management  
 871 at the watershed scale. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, **29**, 130–144.

872 Greig, S.M., Sear, D.A., Smallman, D. and Carling, P.A., 2005. Impact of clay particles on  
 873 the cutaneous exchange of oxygen across the chorion of Atlantic salmon eggs.  
 874 *Journal of Fish Biology*, **66**, 1681-91.

875 Harding, J.S., Benfield, E.F., Bolstad, P.V., Helfman, G.S., and Jones, E.B.D., III. 1998. Stream  
 876 biodiversity: The ghost of land use past. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **95**, 14,843-7.

877 Harris, G. and Heathwaite, A.L., 2005. Inadmissible evidence: knowledge and prediction in land  
 878 and riverscapes. *Journal of Hydrology*, **304**, 3-19.

879 Haycock, N.E. and Muscutt, A.D., 1995. Landscape management strategies for the control of  
880 diffuse pollution. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, **31**, 313-21.

881 Heathwaite, A.L., 2003. Making process-based knowledge useable at the operational level: a  
882 framework for modelling diffuse pollution from agricultural land. *Environmental Modelling  
883 and Software*, **18**, 753–760.

884 Heathwaite, A.L., Fraser, A.I., Johnes, P.J., Hutchins, M., Lord, E. and Butterfield, D., 2003a. The  
885 phosphorus indicators tool: a simple model of diffuse P loss from agricultural land to  
886 water. *Soil Use and Management*, **19**, 1–11.

887 Heathwaite, A.L., Johnes, P.J. and Peters, N.E., 1996. Trends in nutrients. *Hydrological  
888 Processes*, **10**, 263–293.

889 Heathwaite, A.L., Quinn, P.F. and Hewett, C.J.M., 2005. Modelling and managing critical source  
890 areas of diffuse pollution from agricultural land using flow connectivity simulation. *Journal  
891 of Hydrology*, **304**, 446-61.

892 Heathwaite, A.L., Sharpley, A.N. and Gburek, W.J., 2000. A conceptual approach for integrating  
893 phosphorus and nitrogen management at catchment scales. *Journal of Environmental  
894 Quality*, **29**, 158–166.

895 Heathwaite, A.L., Sharpley, A.N., Beckmann, M. and Rekolainen, S., 2003b. Assessing the risk of  
896 agricultural nonpoint source phosphorus pollution. In: Sims, J.T., Sharpley, A.N. (Eds.),  
897 Phosphorus: Agriculture and the Environment. ASA/CSSA/SSSA Monograph.

898 Herrmann, S., Dabbert, S. and Raumerb, H.S., 2003. Threshold values for nature protection  
899 areas as indicators for bio-diversity—a regional evaluation of economic and ecological  
900 consequences. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **98**, 493–506.

901 Hooda, P.S., Moynagh, M., Svoboda, I.F., Thurlow, M., Stewart, M., Thomson, M. and Anderson,  
902 H.A., 1997. Soil and land use effects on phosphorus in six streams draining small  
903 agricultural catchments in Scotland. *Soil Use and Management* , **13**, 196–204.

904 Hunsaker, C.T. and Levine, D.A., 1995. Hierarchical approaches to the study of water-quality in  
905 rivers. *Bioscience*, **45**, 193-203.

906 Hynes, H.B.N., 1975. The Stream and its Valley. *Verhandlungen der Internationalen Vereinigung  
907 fuer Theoretische und Angewandte Limnologie*, **19**, 1-15.

908 Johnes, P.J. and Heathwaite, A.L., 1997. Modelling the impact on water quality of land use  
 909 change in agricultural catchments. *Hydrological Processes*, **11**, 269–286.

910 Johnes, P.J., 1996. Evaluation and management of the impact of land use change on the  
 911 nitrogen and phosphorus load delivered to surface waters: the export coefficient modelling  
 912 approach. *Journal of Hydrology*, **183**, 323–349.

913 Johnson, L.B. and Gage, S.H., 1997. Landscape approaches to the analysis of aquatic  
 914 ecosystems *Freshwater Biology*, **37**, 113–132.

915 Johnson, L.B., Richards, C., Host, G.E., and Arthur, J.W. 1997. Landscape influences on water  
 916 chemistry in Midwestern stream ecosystems. *Freshwater Biology*, **37**, 193–208.

917 Jordan, C. and Smith, R.V., 2005. Methods to predict the agricultural contribution to catchment  
 918 nitrate loads: designation of nitrate vulnerable zones in Northern Ireland. *Journal of*  
 919 *Hydrology*, **304**, 316–329

920 Jordan, C., Mihalyvalvy, E., Garrett, M.K. and Smith, R.V., 1994. Modelling of nitrate leaching on  
 921 a regional scale using a GIS. *Journal of Environmental Management*, **42**, 279–298.

922 Kirchner, J.W., Feng, X. and Neal, C., 2000. Fractal stream chemistry and its implications for  
 923 contaminant transport in catchments. *Nature*, **403**, 524–7.

924 Kuusemets, V. and Mander, U., 1999. Ecotechnological measures to control nutrient losses from  
 925 catchments. *Water Science and Technology*, **40**, 195–202.

926 Lammert, M. and Allan, J.D., 1999. Assessing biotic integrity of streams: Effects of scale in  
 927 measuring the influence of land use/cover and habitat structure on fish and  
 928 macroinvertebrates. *Environmental Management*, **23**, 257–70.

929 Lane, S.N., 2008. What makes a fish (hydrologically) happy? A case for inverse modelling.  
 930 *Hydrological Processes*, **22**, 22 pp 4493–4495

931 Lane, S.N., Brookes, C.J., Kirkby, M.J. and Holden, J. 2004. A network-index based version of  
 932 TOPMODEL for use with high-resolution digital topographic data. *Hydrological Processes*,  
 933 **18**, 191–201.

934 Lane, S.N., Morris, J., O'Connell, P.E. and Quinn, P.F., 2006. Managing the Rural Landscape.  
 935 Chapter 17 in Thorne, C.R., Evans, E.P. and Penning-Rowsell, E. *Future Flood and*  
 936 *Coastal Erosion Risk*, Thomas Telford.



937 Lane, S.N., Reaney, S.M. and Heathwaite, A.L., 2009. Representation of landscape hydrological  
 938 connectivity using a topographically driven surface flow index. *Water Resources*  
 939 *Research*, 45, W08423, doi:10.1029/2008WR007336.

940 Maltby, A. 2002. Application of semi-quantitative electrofishing to juvenile salmonid densities in  
 941 the river Eden catchment in support of river regeneration activities. Eden Rivers Trust.

942 Matthews, R., 2006. The People and Landscape Model (PALM): Towards full integration of  
 943 human decision-making and biophysical simulation models. *Ecological Modelling*, **194**,  
 944 329-43.

945 McKergow, L.A., Weaver, D.M., Prosser, I.P., Grayson, R.B. and Reed, A.E.G., 2003. Before and  
 946 after riparian management: sediment and nutrient exports from a small agricultural  
 947 catchment, Western Australia. *Journal of Hydrology*, **270**, 253-72.

948 Meador, M.R. and Goldstein, R.M., 2003. Assessing water quality at large geographic scales:  
 949 Relations among land use, water physicochemistry, riparian condition, and fish community  
 950 structure. *Environmental Management*, **31**, 504-17.

951 Munafo, M., Cecchi, G., Baiocco, F. and Mancini, L., 2005. River pollution from non-point  
 952 sources: a new simplified method of assessment. *Journal of Environmental Management*,  
 953 **77**, 93–98.

954 Muscutt, A.D., Harris, G.L., Bailey, S.W. and Davies, D.B., 1993. Buffer zones to improve water  
 955 quality – a review of their potential use in UK agriculture. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and*  
 956 *Environment*, **45**, 59-77.

957 Naden, P.S. and Cooper, D.M., 1999. Development of a sediment delivery model for application  
 958 in large river basins. *Hydrological Processes*, 13, 1011-1034.

959 Newson, M.D., 1997. *Land, Water and Development: River Basin Systems and their Sustainable*  
 960 *Management*, Routledge, London.

961 O'Grady, M.F., 1993. Initial observations on the effects of varying levels of deciduous vegetation  
 962 on salmon stocks in Irish waters. *Aquaculture Research*, **24**, 563-73.

963 Owens, P.N., Walling, D.E. and Leeks, G.J.L., 1999. Deposition and storage of fine-grained  
 964 sediment within the main channel system of the River Tweed, Scotland. *Earth Surface*  
 965 *Processes and Landforms*, 24, 1061-76.

966 Parsons, H., Walker, J., Scarlet, P. and Hornby, D. 2001. River Eden RHS and geomorphology  
 967 evaluation. Final Report 2001. Environment Agency.

968 Perry M.C., Hollis D.M. 2005. The generation of monthly gridded datasets for a range of climatic  
 969 variables over the UK. *International Journal of Climatology*, **25**, 1041-1054

970 Pess, G.R., Montgomery, D.R., Steel, E.A., Bilby, R.E., Feist, B.E. and Greenberg, H.M., 2002.  
 971 Landscape characteristics, land use, and coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*)  
 972 abundance, Snohomish River, Wash., USA. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic*  
 973 *Science*, **59**, 613–623.

974 Pionke, H.B., Gburek, W.J. and Sharpley, A.N., 2000. Critical source area controls on water  
 975 quality in an agricultural watershed located in the Chesapeake Basin. *Ecological*  
 976 *Engineering*, **14**, 325–335.

977 Planchon, O., and F. Darboux. 2001. A fast, simple and versatile algorithm to fill the depressions  
 978 of digital elevation models. *Catena*, **146**, 159–176.

979 Poff, N.L. 1997. Landscape filters and species traits: Towards mechanistic understanding and  
 980 prediction in stream ecology. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*, **16**,  
 981 391–409.

982 Priess, J.A., de Koning, G.H.J., Veldkamp, A., 2001. Assessment of interactions between land  
 983 use change and carbon and nutrient fluxes in Ecuador. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and*  
 984 *Environment*, **85**, 269–279.

985 Quinn, P., 2004. Scale appropriate modelling: representing cause-and-effect relationships in  
 986 nitrate pollution at the catchment scale for the purpose of catchment scale planning.  
 987 *Journal of Hydrology*, **291**, 197–217.

988 Quinn, P.F. and Anthony, S., 1999. The use of high resolution digital terrain models to represent  
 989 wash-off in natural and man-influenced environments. *IAHS Publication*, **257**, pp. 255–  
 990 264.

991 Reaney, S.M., Bracken (nee Bull), L.J. and Kirkby, M.J. 2007. The use of the Connectivity of  
 992 Runoff Model (CRUM) to investigate the Influence of Storm Characteristics on Runoff  
 993 Generation and Connectivity in Semi-Arid Areas. *Hydrological Processes*, **21**, 894-906.

994 Rich, C.F., McMahon, T.E., Rieman, B.E., and Thompson W.L., 2003. Local-habitat, watershed,  
 995 and biotic features associated with bull trout occurrence in Montana streams.  
 996 *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, **132**, 1053-64.

997 Richards, C., Haro, R.J., Johnson, L.B. and Host, G.E., 1997. Catchment and reach-scale  
 998 properties as indicators of macroinvertebrate species traits. *Freshwater Biology*, **37**, 219-  
 999 30.

1000 Roth, N.E., Allan J.D. and Erikson, D.L., 1996. Landscape influences on stream biotic integrity  
 1001 assessed at multiple spatial scales. *Landscape Ecology*, **11**, 141-56.

1002 Smith, R.V., Lennox, S.D., Jordan, C., Foy, R.H. and McHale, E., 1995. Increase in soluble  
 1003 phosphorus transported in drainflow from a grassland catchment in response to soil  
 1004 phosphorus accumulation. *Soil Use and Management*, **11**, 204–209.

1005 Soulsby, C., Malcolm, R., Gibbins, C., and Dilks, C., 2001. Seasonality, water quality trends and  
 1006 biological responses in four streams in the Cairngorm Mountains, Scotland. *Hydrology  
 1007 and Earth Systems Science*, **5**, 433-50.

1008 Stauffer, J.C., Goldstein, R.M. and Newman, R.M., 2000. Relationship of wooded riparian zones  
 1009 and runoff potential to fish community composition in agricultural streams. *Canadian  
 1010 Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*, **57**, 307–316.

1011 Suter, H.C. and Huntingford, F.A., 2002. Eye colour in juvenile Atlantic salmon: effects of social  
 1012 status, aggression and foraging success. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **61**, 606-14.

1013 Tarboton, D.G., 1997. A new method for the determination of flow directions and upslope areas in  
 1014 grid digital elevation models. *Water Resources Research*, **33**, 309-319.

1015 Townsend, C.R., Arbuckle, C.J., Crowl, T.A., and Scarsbrook, M.R., 1997. The relationship  
 1016 between land use and physicochemistry, food resources and macroinvertebrate  
 1017 communities in tributaries of the Taieri River, New Zealand: A hierarchically scaled  
 1018 approach. *Freshwater Biology*, **37**, 177-191.

1019 Townsend-Cartwright, S. 2004. Semi-quantitative electrofishing to assess the status of salmonid  
 1020 fry populations within the Eden catchment in 2002 and 2003 in support of river restoration  
 1021 work. The Eden Rivers Trust.

- 1022 Vatn, A., Bakken, L., Bleken, M.A., Baadshaug, O.H., Fykse, H., Haugen, L.E., Lundekvam, H.,  
1023 Morken, J., Romstad, E., Rørstad, P.K., Skjelvåg, A.O. and Sogn, T., 2006. A  
1024 methodology for integrated economic and environmental analysis of pollution from  
1025 agriculture. *Agricultural Systems*, **88**, 270-93.
- 1026 Wang, L., Lyons, J., Kanehl, P., and Gatti, R. 1997. Influences of watershed land use on habitat  
1027 quality and biotic integrity in Wisconsin streams. *Fisheries (Bethesda)*, **22**, 6–12.
- 1028 Wang, L., Lyons, J., Rasmussen, P., Seelbach, P., Simon, T., Wiley, M., Kanehl, P., Baker, E.,  
1029 Niemela, S. and Stewart, P.M., 2003. Watershed, reach, and riparian influences on  
1030 stream fish assemblages in the Northern Lakes and Forest Ecoregion, USA. *Canadian*  
1031 *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*, **60**, 491–505.
- 1032 Weber, A., Fohrer, N. and Möller, D., 2001. Long-term land use changes in a mesoscale  
1033 watershed due to socio-economic factors - effects on landscape structures and functions.  
1034 *Ecological Modelling*, **140**, 125–140.
- 1035 Wiley, M.J., Kohler, S.L., and Seelbach, P.W. 1997. Reconciling landscape and local views of  
1036 aquatic communities: Lessons from Michigan trout streams. *Freshwater Biology*, **37**, 133–  
1037 148.
- 1038 Wolf, J., Hackten Broeke, M.J.D., and Rötter, R., 2005. Simulation of nitrogen leaching in sandy  
1039 soils in the Netherlands with the ANIMO model and the integrated modelling system  
1040 STONE. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **105**, 523–540.
- 1041 Wood, F.L., Heathwaite, A.L. and Haygarth, P.M., 2005. Evaluating diffuse and point phosphorus  
1042 contributions to river transfers at different scales in the Taw catchment, Devon, UK.  
1043 *Journal of Hydrology*, **304**, 118–138.
- 1044 Young, P.C., Parkinson, S. and Lees, M.J., 1996. Simplicity out of complexity: Occam's razor  
1045 revisited. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, **23**, 165–210.
- 1046

1047

1048



1049

1050 Figure 1. A hydrologically disconnected fine sediment source: surface erosion by overland flow  
1051 and its accumulation in a surface hollow. Source, Eden Rivers Trust.





1052

1053 Figure 2. Dilution effects where a high risk suspended sediment tributary meets a low risk  
1054 suspended sediment tributary. Source, Eden Rivers Trust.




Figure 3. Overview of the SCIMAP model for fine sediment risk








Figure 5 shows the main derivatives of the DEM that are used in the calculation of the point scale soil erodibility risk. Figure 5a shows the network index which is used to determine the surfaces flow connection risk. Figure 5b shows the predicted spatial pattern of the soil erodibility as determined by the surface land cover. Figure 5c shows the distribution of the stream power index which represents the energy available to erode the surfaces. Figure 5d and Figure 5e show the convolution of the source area analysis with the connectivity analysis.









Figure 6. The accumulated risk weighted by the dilution potential, essentially the risk concentration









Figure 7. The results of

the Bayesian-based inverse modelling for the years 2002 (Figure 7a and Figure 7c)

1076 and 2003 (Figure 7b and Figure 7d) for both trout (Figure 7a and Figure 7b) and salmon (Figure  
1077 7c and Figure 7d). In these plots, the heavy solid line plots the mean erodibility against the  
1078 associated objective function value. As the objective function is defined as a perfect association  
1079 with a value of 1.0, the mean weighting for the best 10 simulations is the farthest right of each  
1080 plot and mean weighting for the best 200 simulations is the farthest left on each plot. The light  
1081 solid line is the standard deviation of the erodibilities assigned to each land cover.

1082

1083 7a

1084

1085 7b

1086

1087 7c

1088

1089 7d

1090

1091

1092

1093 Table 1. Statistical comparison of risk estimates with and without trout and salmonid fry present.

Year	Species	Fry present	Fry absent	<i>p</i> value	
				Mann Whitney	Kolmogorov- Smirnov
2002	Trout	0.0450 ± 0.0024, n = 167	0.0587 ± 0.0025, n = 184	<0.0001	0.0003
	Salmon	0.0506 ± 0.0021, n = 204	0.0543 ± 0.0031, n = 147	0.937	0.050
2003	Trout	0.0521 ± 0.0022, n = 192	0.0762 ± 0.0046, n = 83	<0.0001	<0.0001
	Salmon	0.0575 ± 0.0024, n = 154	0.0619 ± 0.0040, n = 121	0.773	0.018

1094